A Unified Framework for Surface Flux-Driven Cyclones Outside the Tropics

- Kerry Emanuel (1), Tommaso Alberti (2), Stella Bourdin (3), Suzana J. Camargo (4), Davide
- Faranda (5,6,7), Manos Flaounas (8,9), Juan Jesus Gonzalez-Aleman (10), Chia-Ying Lee (4),
- Mario Marcello Miglietta (11), Claudia Pasquero (12), Alice Portal (13), Hamish Ramsay (14),
- Romualdo Romero (15)
- (1) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Mass. Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139
- (2) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Rome, Italy
- (3) Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
- (4) Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York, USA

 (5) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR 8212 CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay & IPSL, CE Saclay l'Orme des Merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

- (6) London Mathematical Laboratory, 8 Margravine Gardens, London W6 8RH, UK
- (7) LMD/IPSL, ENS, Université PSL, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Paris France
- (8) Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- (9) Institute of Oceanography, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, Athens, Greece
- (10) CNR-ISAC, Padua, Italy
- (11) Spanish State Meteorological Agency, AEMET, Department Development and Applications
- (12) Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Milano Bicocca, Italy
- (13) Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (CNR-ISAC), National Research Council of Italy, Bologna, Italy
- (14) CSIRO Environment, Aspendale, Victoria, Australia
- (15) Grup de Meteorologia, Departament de Física, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Palma de Mallorca, Spain
- Correspondence: Kerry Emanuel (emanuel@mit.edu)

 also closely match those of tropical transitioning cyclones, with the important distinction that the potential intensity that supports them is not present in the pre-storm environment but rather is

locally generated in the course of their development. We therefore propose to call these storms

CYClones from Locally Originating Potential intensity (CYCLOPs). Like their tropical cousins,

the rapid development and strong winds of cyclops pose a significant threat and forecast

challenge for islands and coastal regions.

1. Introduction

 Cyclones that resemble tropical cyclones are occasionally observed to develop well outside the tropics. These include polar lows, medicanes, subtropical cyclones, Kona storms (central North Pacific), and perhaps some cases of Australian East Coast cyclones. The identification of such systems is usually based on their appearance in satellite imagery and on the environmental conditions in which they occur. Here we show that many of these systems are manifestations of the same physical phenomenon and, as such, should be given a common, physically-based designation. We propose to call these CYClones from Locally Originating Potential intensity 52 (CYCLOPs), with reference to the single-eyed creatures of Greek mythology^{[1](#page-1-0)}. We show that in many respects these developments resemble classical "tropical transition" (TT) events (e.g. Bosart and Bartlo, 1991), but they are distinguished from the latter by occurring in regions where the climatological potential intensity is small or zero. Their rapid development and intense mesoscale inner cores, compared to extratropical cyclones, make cyclops significant hazards and a forecasting challenge.

- Cyclones of synoptic and sub-synoptic scale are powered by one or both of two energy sources:
- the available potential energy (APE) associated with isobaric temperature gradients
- (baroclinity), and fluxes of enthalpy (sensible and latent heat) from the ocean to the
- 61 atmosphere^{[2](#page-1-1)}. A normal extratropical cyclone over land is an example of the former, while the

 Late in the process of writing this paper, we discovered that there is a scientific research project by the same name, standing for ["Improving Mediterranean CYCLOnes Predictions in Seasonal forecasts with](https://www.cmcc.it/projects/cyclops-improving-mediterranean-cyclones-predictions-in-seasonal-forecasts-with-artificial-intelligence) [artificial intelligence"](https://www.cmcc.it/projects/cyclops-improving-mediterranean-cyclones-predictions-in-seasonal-forecasts-with-artificial-intelligence). Its team leader, Leone Cavicchia, has graciously agreed with our use of the same name.

 Some would regard latent heating as an additional energy source, but condensation through a deep layer is present in most cyclones and, being strongly tied to vertical motion, should not be regarded as an external heat source but rather as a modification of the effective static stability.

latter is epitomized by a classical tropical cyclone. Extratropical transitioning and tropical

[3](#page-2-0) transitioning cyclones³ are powered by both sources, with the relative proportion usually varying

- over the life of the storm. Additionally, we note that tropical cyclones often originate in
- disturbances, such as African easterly waves, that derive their energy from isobaric temperature gradients.

 Like tropical cyclones, cyclops are mainly powered by surface enthalpy fluxes, but differ from the former in that the required potential intensity is produced locally and transiently by baroclinic processes, whereas tropical cyclones develop in seasons and regions where potential intensity is always present. Cyclops closely resemble the strongly baroclinic cases of tropical transition defined and discussed by Davis and Bosart (2004), except that they occur in regions where the climatological potential intensity is too small for tropical cyclogenesis, relying on synoptic-scale perturbations that locally enhance potential intensity in space and time. David and Bosart (2004) confined their attention to tropical cyclone formation in regions of high sea surface temperature, stating that "The precursor cyclone must occlude and remain over warm water (≳26°C) for at least a day following occlusion." Similarly, McTaggart-Cowan et al. (2008) and McTaggart- Cowan et al. (2013) only examined cases of tropical transition that resulted in named tropical cyclones. But McTaggert-Cowan et al. (2015) recognized that around 5% of the cases they identified as tropical transition cases occurred over colder water and that upper-level troughs played a key role in destabilizing the atmosphere with respect to the sea surface. We here build on this work and place it within the framework of potential intensity theory.

-
- The basic physics of cyclops was explored by the first author in reference to medicanes, and is
- 83 illustrated in Figure 1. While the actual evolution is, of course, continuous, it is simpler to
- discuss it in phases. In the first phase (Figure 1a), Rossby wave breaking has resulted in an
- isolated potential vorticity (PV) anomaly near the tropopause. We idealize this anomaly as circularly symmetric and show a cross-section through it. In the illustration, the first phase is
- assumed to occur over land, but that need not be the case in general.

³ Extratropical transitioning cyclones are storms whose energy source is transitioning from surface fluxes to ambient baroclinity, while the energy source of tropical transitioning cyclones is moving in the opposite direction.

Figure 1: Three stages in the development of a cyclop. Each of the three panels shows a cross -section through a circular, isolated PV anomaly at the tropopause (gray shading). The thin black curves are isentropes, while the red curves are isotachs of the flow normal to the cross -section. In the first phase (a), the PV anomaly is over land, the troposphere beneath it is anomalously cold, and there may be no flow at the surface. The system moves out over open water in the next phase (b) and warming of the boundary layer and lower troposphere suffices to eliminate the cold anomaly at and near the surface. Weak cyclonic flow develops in response to the positive PV anomaly aloft. In the final phase (c), the surface heat fluxes become important and a tight, inner warm core develops.

- During the creation of the near-tropopause PV anomaly, some combination of lifting and cold air
- seclusion has cooled and moistened the column underneath the PV anomaly, and the cold
- anomaly extends right to the surface. From a PV inversion perspective, the near-surface
- anticyclone that results from inverting the negative potential temperature anomaly at the surface
- is assumed to just cancel the cyclonic anomaly that results from inverting the tropopause PV
- anomaly, yielding no circulation at the surface.
- In phase 2 (Figure 1b), the system drifts out over open water that is warm enough to diminish
- and eventually eliminate the cold anomaly at the surface, "unshielding" it from the PV anomaly
- aloft. During this phase, a cyclonic circulation develops in the lower troposphere, with a
- horizontal scale commensurate with that of the PV anomaly.
- If the local potential intensity is large enough, and the air aloft sufficiently close to saturation,
- Wind-Induced Surface Heat Exchange (WISHE) can develop a tropical cyclone-like vortex
- (phase3, Figure 1c), with a warm inner core, eye and eyewall, and perhaps spiral bands. Note
- that the inner core may be warm only with respect to the synoptic-scale cold anomaly
- surrounding it, not necessarily with respect to the distant environment. At mid-levels, the
- temperature anomaly may manifest as a small-scale warm anomaly surrounded by a synoptic-
- scale cold anomaly.
- In reality, these phases blend together into a continuum. One practical challenge is calculating the potential intensity. This should be calculated using the temperatures of the sea surface and 108 the free troposphere under the PV anomaly aloft, but before the troposphere has appreciably warmed from surface fluxes. In practice, because the warming occurs either as the PV anomaly develops over water, or as it moves over water from land, we have no access to the sounding of the free troposphere *before* it has warmed up. The true potential intensity for a TC developing within the cold column under the PV anomaly is hence impossible to obtain. Nevertheless, we can estimate how cold the troposphere was before surface fluxes warmed it by assuming that the troposphere has an approximately moist adiabatic temperature profile and using the upper tropospheric geopotential height in the cold cutoff cyclone to estimate the negative temperature perturbation underneath it. This was done in Emanuel (2005) and a slightly improved version is
- 117 derived in the Appendix. The result is a modified potential intensity, V_{max} , given by

118
$$
V_{pm}^2 = V_p^2 - \frac{C_k}{C_D} \frac{T_s}{T_{400}} \phi'_{400},
$$
 (1)

- 119 where $\mathit{V}_{p}^{}$ is the potential intensity calculated in the usual way from the local sea surface
- 120 temperature and atmospheric sounding, ϕ'_{400} is the perturbation of the upper tropospheric
- 121 geopotential, which we here evaluate at 400 hPa, away from climatology, C_k and C_D are the
- 122 surface exchange coefficients for enthalpy and drag, and T_s and T_{400} are the absolute
- temperatures at the surface and 400 hPa. In what follows, we approximate the coefficient

124 multiplying ϕ'_{400} in (1) by a constant value, 1.3, a reasonable estimate of the mean value of the coefficient.

 Beginning with an upper cold cyclone in an environment of otherwise zero potential intensity, Emanuel (2005) simulated the development of a WISHE-driven cyclone using the axisymmetric, nonhydrostatic hurricane model of Rotunno and Emanuel (1987). The cold, moist troposphere under such an upper cyclone proves to be an ideal incubator of surface flux-driven cyclones with characteristics nearly identical to those of tropical cyclones. One interesting facet of the process is that the anomalous surface enthalpy flux destroys the parent upper cold low over a period of a few days.

2. Why it matters

Why should we care whether a cyclone is driven by surface fluxes or baroclinity? From a

- practical forecasting standpoint, the spatial distributions of weather hazards, like rain and wind,
- can be very different, as can be the development time scales and fundamental predictability.
- In classical baroclinic cyclones, the strongest winds are often found in frontal zones and can be
- far from the cyclone center, and precipitation is usually heaviest in these frontal zones and in a
- shield of slantwise ascent extending poleward from the surface cyclone. There is long
- experience in forecasting baroclinic storms, and today's numerical weather prediction (NWP) of
- these events has become quite accurate, even many days ahead. Importantly, baroclinic
- cyclones are well resolved by today's NWP models. While baroclinic cyclones can intensify
- rapidly, both the magnitude and timing of intensification are usually forecast accurately, and
- uncertainties are well quantified by NWP ensembles.
- By contrast, the physics of tropical cyclone intensification, involving a positive feedback between surface winds and surface enthalpy fluxes, results in an intense, concentrated core with high winds and heavy precipitation (which can be snow in the case of polar lows). The eyewalls of
- surface flux-driven cyclones are strongly frontogenetical, further concentrating wind and rain in
- an annulus of mesoscale dimensions. The intensity of surface flux-driven cyclones can change
- very rapidly and often unpredictably, presenting a severe challenge to forecasters. For example, Hurricane Otis of 2023 intensified from a tropical storm to a Category 5 hurricane in about 30
- hours, devastating Acapulco, Mexico, with little warning from forecasters. The small size of the
- core of high winds and heavy precipitation means that small errors in the forecast position of the
- center of the storm can lead to large errors in local wind and precipitation predictions. Surface
- flux-driven cyclones are too small to be well resolved by today's global NWP models, and even
- if they were well resolved, fundamental predictability studies show high levels of intrinsic
- unpredictability of rapid intensity changes (Zhang et al., 2014).
- The time and space scales of surface flux-driven cyclones are such that they couple strongly
- with the ocean, producing near-inertial currents whose shear-driven turbulence mixes to the
- surface generally (but not always) colder water from below the surface mixed layer. This has an
- important (usually negative) feedback on the intensification of such cyclones. Accurate
- numerical forecasting of surface flux-driven cyclones therefore requires an interactive ocean,
- generally missing from today's NWP models because it is not very important for baroclinic
- cyclones and because of the additional computational burden.

 For these reasons, it matters (or should matter) to forecasters whether a particular development is primarily driven by surface fluxes or by ambient baroclinity. The structural differences described above are often detectable in satellite imagery; at the same time, such imagery is sometimes misleading about the underlying physics. For example, classical baroclinic development sometimes develops cloud-free eyes surrounded by convection through the warm seclusion process, even over land, and yet may not have the intense annular concentration of wind and rain characteristic of surface flux-driven cyclones (Tous and Romero, 2013). Armed with the conceptual cyclop model developed in section 1 and modified potential intensity

- given by (1), we now turn to case studies of the development of medicanes, polar lows, a subtropical cyclone, and a Kona storm, showing that the dynamic and thermodynamic pathways are similar. Specifically, each case developed after the formation of a deep, cold-core cut-off cyclone in the upper troposphere that often resulted from a Rossby wave breaking event. The lifting of the tropospheric air in response to the developing potential vorticity anomaly near the tropopause created a deep, cold, and presumably humid column. The deep cold air over bodies of relatively warmer water substantially elevates potential intensity, while its high relative humidity discourages evaporatively driven convective downdrafts, which tamp down the needed
- increase in boundary layer enthalpy. Low vertical wind shear near the core of the cutoff cyclone,
- coupled with high potential intensity and humidity, provide an ideal embryo for tropical cyclone-
- like development.
- In what follows we focus on the cutoff cyclone evolution and the development of modified
- potential intensity. In a particular case, we compare reanalysis column water vapor to that
- estimated from satellite measurements. The differences between these are significant enough
- to cast some doubt on the quality of reanalyzed water vapor associated with the small-scale
- cyclop developments, thus we do not focus on water vapor even though it is known to be
- important for intensification of tropical cyclones.
- We also examined, but do not show here, several cases of Australian East Coast Lows (Holland
- et al., 1987). Owing to the East Australian Current, the climatological potential intensity is
- substantial off the southeast coast of Australia, and the cyclop developments we analyzed
- behaved more like classical tropical transitions, with little or no role of the synoptic scale
- dynamics in enhancing the existing potential intensity. We suspect that there may be other
- cases in which the latter process was important, but did not conduct a search for such cases. It
- is clear that in many of these cases surface heat fluxes were important in driving the cyclone
- (Cavicchia et al., 2019). We also examined a small number of subtropical cyclones that
- developed in the South Atlantic, but as with the Australian cases, they resembled classical
- tropical transitions, though again we suspect there may be cyclop cases there as well.
- As with tropical cyclones, there are variations on the theme of cyclops, and we explore these in 201 the closing sections.
-

3. Case Studies

3.1 Medicane Celano of January, 1995

On average, 1.5 medicanes are observed annually in the Mediterranean (Cavicchia et al., 2014;

Nastos et al., 2018; Romero and Emanuel, 2013; Zhang et al., 2021) and, more rarely, similar

storms are observed over the Black Sea (Yarovaya et al., 2008). We begin with a system,

Medicane Celeno, that reached maturity on 16 January, 1995, shown in infrared satellite

imagery in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Infrared satellite image of Medicane Celeno in the central Mediterranean, at 09:06 UTC 16 January 1995.

 Figure 3: Track of the surface center of the cyclone between 03:00 UTC on January 15 and 06:00 on January 18, 1995, derived from satellite images (Pytharoulis et al.,1999)

Figure 3 shows the track of the surface center of the cyclone, which developed between Greece

and Sicily and made landfall in Libya. A detailed description of this medicane is provided by

Pytharoulis et al. (1999).

 The evolution of the system in increments of 24 hours, beginning on 00 GMT, 12 January and ending at 00 GMT 15 January, is shown in Figure 4. This sequence, showing the 400 hPa and 950 hPa geopotential heights, covers the period just before the system develops. The evolution of the upper tropospheric (400 hPa) height field shows a classic Rossby wave breaking event (McIntyre and Palmer, 1983) in which an eastward-moving baroclinic Rossby wave at higher latitudes amplifies and irreversibly breaks to the south and west, finally forming a cut-off cyclone. To the southeast of this trough, a weak, broad area of low pressure develops at 950 hPa mostly over land in a region of low-level warm advection. As the cold pool is gradually 227 heated by the underlying sea, a broad surface cyclone develops by 00 GMT on January 14th. At around this time, the feedback between surface wind and surface enthalpy fluxes is strong enough to develop a tight inner warm core (warm, that is, relative to the surrounding cold pool, 230 not necessarily to the unperturbed larger scale environment) by 00 GMT on January $15th$, noticeable just to the west of northwestern Greece (Figure 5d). At the same time, the upper cold core weakens, no doubt aided by the strong heating from the surface transferred aloft by deep convection.

 hour increments, from 00 GMT 12 January to 00 GMT 15 January, 1995. These fields are from ERA5 reanalysis. The 400 hPa heights span from 6.7 to 7.5 km, while the 950 hPa heights range from 350 m to 730 m.

241 *Figure 5: 750 hPa temperature (K; left) and modified potential intensity given by equation (1) (m/s, right) at 00 GMT 242* on January 12th – 15th (top to bottom) 1995. The 750 hPa temperature spans from 255 K to 2 *on January 12th – 15th (top to bottom) 1995. The 750 hPa temperature spans from 255 K to 285 K, while the modified potential intensity ranges from 35 to 80 ms⁻¹. From ERA5 reanalysis.*

- Figure 5 shows the corresponding evolution of the 750 temperature and the modified potential
- 247 intensity, V_{pm} , given by (1). The latter in this and subsequent figures is only shown in the range
- 248 of 35 ms^{-1} to 80 ms^{-1} . Experience shows that tropical cyclone genesis is rare when the potential
- 249 intensity is less than about 35 ms^{-1} (Emanuel, 2010).
- 250 On January 12th, relatively small values of $V_{_{\rho m}}$ are evident in the eastern and northern
- Mediterranean, but with higher values developing over the northern Adriatic as cold air aloft
- creeps in from the north. As the upper tropospheric Rossby wave breaks and a cut-off cyclone 253 develops over the central Mediterranean, V_{nm} increase greatly during the 13th and 14th, with peak
- 254 values greater than 80 ms^{-1} . The cyclop develops in a region of very high V_{pmm} , though not
- where the highest values occur. Note that a lower warm core is not obvious at 750 hPa until
- 256 January 15th and that it occurs on a somewhat smaller scale than the cut-off cyclone.
- As the cyclop moves southward, it maintains a tight inner warm core until after landfall (not $\;\;\;$ shown here) and the modified potential intensity, \it{V}_{pm} , over the south central Mediterranean
- 259 diminishes rapidly, with peak values of only about 60 ms^{-1} by 00 GMT on January 17th. One
- 260 potentially important difference between cyclop development and tropical transition is that in the
- former case, the volume of air with appreciable potential intensity is limited. It is possible that, by
- warming the whole tropospheric column relative to the surface, the enhanced surface fluxes
- associated with the cyclop substantially diminish the magnitude and/or volume of the high $\bar{V}_{_{pm}}$
- air, serving to limit the lifetime of the cyclop. This was the case in the axisymmetric numerical simulations of Emanuel (2005).
- It is clear in this case that the cyclop development occurred on time and space scales appreciably smaller than those of the rather weak, synoptic-scale cyclogenesis resulting from the interaction of the positive PV perturbation near the tropopause with a low-level temperature gradient. It is also clear that the strong cooling of the troposphere in response to the development and southward migration of the cutoff cyclone aloft was instrumental in bringing about the high potential intensity necessary to activate the WISHE process. Therefore, the Rossby wave breaking did much more than trigger cyclogenesis; it provided the necessary potential intensity for the cyclop development. Here we draw a distinction from tropical transition, in which, in most cases, the pre-existing potential intensity suffices to maintain a surface flux-driven cyclone.

3.2 Medicane of December 2005

277 A medicane, unofficially known as Zeo, formed on December $14th$ 2005 off the coast of Tunisia and then moved eastward across a large stretch of the Mediterranean. Figure 6 shows a 279 satellite image of this medicane on December $15th$. This cyclone has been the subject of several intensive studies (e.g. Fita and Flaounas, 2018; Miglietta and Rotunno, 2019).

282 *Figure 6: Medicane Zeo between Crete and Libya on 15 December 2005. Image from NASA.*

283 Like Medicane Celeno, Medicane Zeo formed as a result of a Rossby wave breaking event, as 284 illustrated in Figure 7. On December $8th$ (panel a), a deep trough is digging southward over 285 eastern Europe, but by the $9th$ (panel b) it has split in two, with the westward half breaking 286 southwestward over Germany and Switzerland. By the 11th (panel d), this local minimum is 287 located over Tunisia and on the $12th$ (panel e) is more or less completely cut off from the main 288 westerly jet. It subsequently oscillates over Tunisia and Algeria, before moving slowly eastward 289 over the Mediterranean, as shown in Figure 8.

290 In response to the cutoff cyclone development, a broad, synoptic-scale surface cyclone formed 291 to the east of the upper cyclone over the deserts of Libya during December 12th and 13th (not 292 shown here). The poorly defined center of this system drifted northward, on collision course with 293 the upper cyclone, which was drifting eastward over Tunisia by late on the $13th$. As the surface 294 center moved out over the Mediterranean early on the $13th$, rapid development ensued and a 295 mature cyclop was evident by 00 GMT on the $14th$ (Figure 8c). The system began to move 296 eastward and reached peak intensity on the $14th$ (lower panels of Figure 8). The cyclop moved 297 eastward, along with its parent upper cyclone, and dissipated in the far eastern Mediterranean 298 on the $16th$ (not shown).

299

 Figure 7: 400 hPa geopotential heights, ranging from 6.5 km to 7.5 km (color scale identical for all panels) at 00 GMT on December 8th (a), 9th (b), 10th (c), 11th (d), 12th (e), and 13th (f), 2005. From ERA-5 reanalysis.

304 The evolutions of 750 hPa temperature and $V_{_{pm}}$ on the 14th and 15th of December are shown in

Figure 9. In this case, there were large meridional gradients of sea surface temperature across

306 the Mediterranean, ranging from over 20 \degree C in the far south to less than 12 \degree C in the northern

reaches of the Adriatic and western Mediterranean (not shown here). As the cold pool moved

 $\;\;$ southwestward and deepened, only low values of $V_{_{\mu m}}$ are present over the northern

309 Mediterranean, but beginning on December 10th, higher values developed over the Gulf of

310 Sidra, east of Tunisia, and by the $14th$ (Figure 9c) had reached at least 80 ms⁻¹, enabling the

311 formation of the cyclop. As in the Celeno case, V_{nm} values diminish thereafter, possibly

because of the surface enthalpy flux.

 Figure 8: Evolution of 400 hPa geopotential height, ranging from 6.5 to 7.5 km (a and b), and 950 hPa geopotential height, ranging from 250 to 750 m (c and d) at 00 GMT in December 14th (left) and 15th (right), 2005. The color scales of (a) and (b) are identical, as are the color scales of (c) and (d). From ERA-5 reanalysis.

322 Figure 9: 750 hPa temperature (K; a-b) and modified potential intensity (m s⁻¹, c-d) at 00 GMT on December 14th (a,c) **323** and December 15th (a,c) *and December 15th (b,d) 2005. From ERA-5 reanalysis.*

 The 750 hPa temperature field (Figure 9a) shows a complex pattern of positive temperature perturbation near the position of the surface low, not nearly as focused as in the case of medicane Celeno. As suggested by Fita and Flaounas (2018), part of the positive temperature anomaly associated with the cyclone may have resulted from a warm seclusion. Yet 24 hours later (Figure 9b) the warm anomaly near the cyclone center over the eastern Gulf of Sidra appears to have been advected from the south rather than the north. It is possible that the reanalysis did not capture the full physics of this particular medicane.

 Figure 10 compares total column water retrieved from satellite microwave and near-infrared imagers (a) to that from the ERA5 reanalysis (b). While there is some broad agreement between the two estimates, the ERA5 underestimates column water in the critical region just east of Tunisia and overestimates it in an arc extending from eastern Sicily southeastward to the Libyan coast. Cyclop intensity, in analogy to tropical cyclone intensity, should be highly sensitive to moisture in the mesoscale inner core region, and may be under-resolved and otherwise not well simulated by global NWP models. For this reason, we do not routinely show reanalysis of water vapor in this paper.

340 *Figure 10: Total column water vapor (Kg m⁻²) at 00 GMT on 14 December 2005, derived from microwave and near
341 <i>infrared imagers (a) and ERA5 reanalysis (b)*. infrared imagers (a) and ERA5 reanalysis (b).

3.3 Polar low of February, 1987

 Closed upper tropospheric lows also provide favorable environments for cyclop development at very high latitudes in locations where there is open water. These usually form poleward of the mid-latitude jet, where quasi-balanced dynamics can be quite different from those operating at lower latitudes. Figure 11 is an infrared image of a polar low that formed just south of Svalbard 348 on February 25th, 1987, and tracked southward, making landfall on the north coast of Norway on 349 the $27th$. This system was studied extensively by Nordeng and Rasmussen(1992).

 Figure 11: NOAA 9 satellite infrared image (channel 4) of a polar low just north of Norway at 08:31 GMT on 27 February 1987.

 As with medicanes, polar lows develop in strongly convecting air masses when cold air moves out over relatively warm water. The adjective "relatively" is crucial here; with polar lows the sea surface temperature is often only marginally above the freezing point of saltwater. Figure 12 356 shows the distribution of sea surface temperature on February $25th$, with the uniform dark blue areas denoting regions of sea ice cover. The polar low shown in Figure 11 develops when deep cold air moves southward over open water, as shown in Figure 13.

 In this case, it is not clear whether one can describe what happens in the upper troposphere (top row of Figure 13) as a Rossby wave breaking event. Instead, what we see is a complex rearrangement of the tropospheric winter polar vortex, as a ridge building over North America breaks and forms an anticyclone over the North Pole. This complex rearrangement results in the 363 formation of a deep cutoff low just south of Svalbard by the $26th$, which then moves southward 364 over Norway by the 27th. The polar low is barely visible in the 950 hPa height field on the 25th (middle row of Figure 13), but intensifies rapidly as it moves over progressively warmer water, 366 reaching maturity before landfall on the $27th$.

Figure 12: Sea surface temperature (°C) at 02:00 GMT on 25 February, 1987, from ERA5 reanalysis. Dark blue
370 *areas denote regions of sea ice. From ERA-5 reanalyses.* areas denote regions of sea ice. From ERA-5 reanalyses.

increases rapidly south of Svalbard as the cut-off cyclone moves out over open water.

 Under these conditions, almost all the surface flux that drives the cyclop is in the form of sensible, rather than latent heat flux, and the background state has a nearly dry (rather than moist) adiabatic lapse rate. As shown by Cronin and Chavas (2019) and Velez-Pardo and Cronin (2023), surface flux-driven cyclones can develop in perfectly dry convecting environments, though they generally reach smaller fractions of their potential intensity and lack the long tail of the radial profile of azimuthal winds that is a consequence of the dry stratification resulting from background moist convection (Chavas and Emanuel, 2014). They also have larger eyes relative to their overall diameters. Given the low temperatures at which they occur, polar lows may be as close to the Cronin-Chavas dry limit as one might expect to see in Earth's climate.

 $\;\;\;\;$ The evolution of the \it{V}_{pm} field is shown in the bottom row of Figure 13. The potential intensity

384 Figure 13: 400 hPa geopotential height (km; a-c), 950 hPa geopotential height (km; d-f), and V_{pm} (ms⁻¹,g-i), at 02:00 *GMT on February 25th (left), 26th (center), and 27th (right), 1987. From ERA-5 reanalysis.*

 One interesting feature of polar waters in winter is that the thermal stratification is sometimes reversed from normal, with warmer waters lying beneath cold surface waters. This is made possible, in part, by strong salinity stratification, that keeps the cold water from mixing with the warmer waters below. Therefore, it is possible for polar lows to generate warm, rather than cold, wakes, and this would feed back positively on their intensity. This seems to happen in roughly half the documented cases of polar lows in the Nordic seas (Tomita and Tanaka, 2024).

3.4 Polar low over the Sea of Japan, December, 2009

Polar lows are not uncommon in the Sea of Japan, forming when deep, cold air masses from

Eurasia flow out over the relatively warm ocean. They are frequent enough to warrant a

climatology (Yanase and co-authors, 2016). A satellite image of one such storm is shown in

Figure 14.

 Figure 14: Polar low over the northern Sea of Japan, 02:13 GMT 20 December, 2009 as captured by the MODIS imager on NASA's Terra satellite.

The cyclone traveled almost due south from this point, striking the Hokkaido region of Japan,

 near Sapporo, with gale-force winds and heavy snow. As with other cyclops, it formed in an environment of deep convection under a cold low aloft.

 The development of the cutoff cyclone aloft was complex, as shown by the sequence of 400 hPa maps displayed in Figure 15. These are 00 GMT charts at 1-day intervals beginning on 405 December 11th and ending on the 20th, about the time of the image in Figure 14. A large polar 406 vortex is centered in northern central Russia on the $11th$ but sheds a child low southeastward on 407 the 12th and 13th, becoming almost completely cutoff on the 14th. The parent low drifts westward 408 during this time. The newly formed cutoff cyclone meanders around in isolation from the 15th 409 through the 17th, but becomes wrapped up with a system propagating into the domain from the 410 east on the 18th. By the 20th, a small-scale cutoff cyclone is drifting southward over the northern

Figure 15: Sequence of 400 hPa geopotential height (km) charts at 1-day intervals from 00 GMT on December 11 th (a) to 00 GMT on December 20th (j), 2009. The charts span from 0° to 180° longitude and from 10° to 70° latitude. 413 Figure 15: Sequence of
414 (a) to 00 GMT on Decen
415 From ERA-5 reanalysis.

418 The 950 hPa height and the \it{V}_{pm} fields at 00 GMT on December 20th are shown in Figure 16. At 419 this time, the surface low is developing rapidly and moving southward into a region of high potential intensity. The latter reaches a maximum near the northwest coast of Japan, where the sea surface temperatures are larger. As with the two medicane cases and the other polar low case, the cyclop develops in a place where the potential intensity values are normally too low for surface flux-driven cyclones but for which the required potential intensity is created by the approach of a deep cold cyclone aloft. The reanalysis 750 hPa temperature (not shown) presents a local maximum at the location of the surface cyclone at this time.

 $\,$ Figure 16: 950 hPa geopotential height (a; km) and $V_{_{pm}}$ (b) at 00 GMT on 20 December, 2009. In (b), the "L" marks $\,$ *the satellite-derived surface cyclone center. From ERA-5 reanalysis.*

3.5 The subtropical cyclone of January, 2023

 The term "subtropical cyclone" has been used to describe a variety of surface flux-assisted cyclonic storms that do not strictly meet the definition of a tropical cyclone. The term has an 33 official definition in the North Atlantic⁴ but is used occasionally elsewhere, especially in regions where terms like medicane, polar low, and Kona storm do not apply, such as the South Atlantic (Evans and Braun, 2012; Gozzo et al., 2014). Here we will use the term to designate cyclops in the sub-arctic North Atlantic; that is, surface flux-powered cyclones that develop in regions and times whose climatological thermodynamic potential is small or zero, that would not be called

 The National Hurricane Center defines a "subtropical cyclone" as "a non-frontal low-pressure system that has characteristics of both tropical and extratropical cyclones", but in the past has also used the terms "hybrid storm" and "neutercane".

- polar lows owing to their latitude. This usage may not be consistent with other definitions. The
- point here is to show that cyclops can occur in the North Atlantic and we can safely refer to
- these as cyclops whether or not they meet some definition of "subtropical cyclone".
- Figure 17 displays a visible satellite image of a subtropical cyclone over the western North
- Atlantic on 16 January, 2023. It resembles the medicanes and polar lows described previously,
- and like them, formed under a cutoff cyclone aloft.

 Figure 17: Subtropical cyclone over the western North Atlantic, 18:20 GMT, 16 January 2023. NOAA geostationary satellite *image*.

 The formation of the cutoff cyclone aloft is shown in Figure 18. A deep trough advances slowly 448 eastward over eastern North America and partially cuts off on the 14th. As the associated cold pool and region of light shear migrate out over the warm waters south of the Gulf Stream, a 450 cyclop forms and intensifies with peak winds of around 60 kts at around 00 GMT on the 17th (Cangliosi et al., 2023). Note also the anticyclonic wave breaking event to the east of the surface cyclone development.

 $\;\;\;\;$ The evolutions of the 950 hPa and associated $\mathit{V}_{_{pm}}$ fields are displayed in Figure 19. (Note the 454 smaller scale around the developing surface cyclone, compared to Figure 18.) On January 14th, 455 the only appreciably large values of $V_{\text{p}m}$ are in the Gulf Stream and in the far southwestern portion of the domain. The 950 hPa height field shows a broad trough associated with the baroclinic wave moving slowly eastward off the U.S. east coast. But as the upper cold cyclone

 $\;\;$ moves out over warmer water on the 15th, large $\;V_{_{pm}}$ develops south of the Gulf Stream, and a closed and more intense surface cyclone develops under the lowest 400 hPa heights and over \quad the region with higher V_{pm} values.

463 Figure 18: 400 hPa geopotential height (km) at 15:00 GMT on January 13th (a), 14th (b), 15th (c), and 16th (d), 2023. **464** *From ERA-5 reanalysis.* **From ERA-5 reanalysis.**

Figure 19: The 950 hPa geopotential height (km) at 15:00 GMT on January 14th (a), 15th (b), 16th (c); the Vpm field on **468** *January 14th (d), 15th (e), and 16th(f). In (e) and (f) the "L" shows the position of the 950 hPa cyclone center at the 469 <i>time of the chart. From ERA-5 reanalysis.* time of the chart. From ERA-5 reanalysis.

- 470 As the upper tropospheric cyclone begins to pull out toward the northeast on the $16th$, the
- $\;\;$ surface cyclone intensifies in the region of large $\it{V}_{pm} \;\;$ south of the Gulf Stream, while the more
- $\;\;\;$ gradual warming of the surface air in the region of small $V_{_{pm}}$ north of the Stream yields surface
- pressure falls, but not as concentrated and intense as in the cyclop to the south.
- Although the evolution of the upper tropospheric cyclone differs in detail from the previously
- examined cases, and the sharp gradient of sea surface temperature across the north wall of the
- Gulfstream clearly plays a role here, in other respects the development of this subtropical
- cyclone resembles that of other cyclops, developing in regions of substantial thermodynamic
- potential that result from cooling aloft on synoptic time and space scales.

3.6 A Kona Storm

- Hawaiians use the term "Kona Storm" to describe cold-season storms that typically form west of
- Hawaii and often bring damaging winds and heavy rain to the islands. The term "Kona"
- translates to "leeward", which in this region means the west side of the islands. They may have
- been first described in the scientific literature by Daingerfield (1921). Simpson (1952) states that
- Kona Storms possess "cold-core characteristics, with winds and rainfall amounts increasing with
- distance from the low-pressure center and reaching a maxima at a radius of 200 to 500 mi.
- However, with intensification, this cyclone may develop warm-core properties, with rainfall and wind profiles bearing a marked resemblance to those of the tropical cyclone." In general,
- Simpson's descriptions of the later stages of some Kona Storms are consistent with them being
- 489 cyclops. But it should be noted that the term is routinely applied to cold-season storms that
490 bring hazardous conditions to Hawaii regardless of whether they have developed warm core
- bring hazardous conditions to Hawaii regardless of whether they have developed warm cores.
- Here we focus on those that do, providing as a single example the Kona Storm of 19 December
- 2010. A visible satellite image of this storm is shown in Figure 20.

 Figure 20: Geostationary satellite visible image showing a Kona Storm at 00 GMT on 19 December 2010. The Kona Storm is the small-scale cyclone left of the major cloud mass.

 Figure 21: Sequence of 400 hPa geopotential height charts (km; (a)-(c)), 950 hPa geopotential heights (km; (d)-(f)), **498** and V_{nm} ((g)-(i)) at 12:00 GMT on December 17th ((a), (d), (g)), December 18th ((b), (e), (h), and December 19th ((c),

(f), (i)) 2010. The "L"s in ((g)-(i)) denote the positions of the 950 hPa cyclone center. From ERA-5 reanalysis.

 As with all known cyclops, the December 2010 Kona Storm developed under a cold-core cutoff cyclone aloft, as shown in Figure 21. The upper-level cyclone had a long and illustrious history 502 before December 18th, having meandered over a large swath of the central North Pacific. But 503 beginning on December $17th$, the cutoff cyclone made a decisive swing southward over waters $\;\;\;$ with higher values of $\it{V}_{\it{pm}}$. A broad surface cyclone was present underneath the cold pool aloft 505 on all three days, but developed a tight inner core on the $19th$ as the cold pool slowly drifted over a region of higher potential intensity.

 This Kona Storm developed in a region of modest climatological potential intensity that was, 508 however, substantially enhanced by the cutoff cyclone aloft. For example, on December 17th, the conventional (unmodified) potential intensity at the position of the 950 hPa cyclone center 510 was about 55 ms⁻¹, compared to the 75-80 ms⁻¹ values of the modified potential intensity. One can only speculate whether a surface flux-driven cyclone would have developed without the enhanced cooling associated with the cutoff cyclone aloft.

- 514 Figure 22 shows the 950 hPa geopotential height field of another Kona Storm, that of March,
- 515 1951. The storm, at that time, was classified by the Joint Typhoon Warning Center as a tropical
516 cyclone, but the climatological potential intensity there at that time of year could not have
- cyclone, but the climatological potential intensity there at that time of year could not have
- 517 $\;\;$ supported any tropical cyclone. Figure 22c shows that substantial $\mathit{V}_{_{\it pm}}$ was associated with a
- 518 cutoff cyclone in the upper troposphere, making possible the existence of a cyclop.

520 *Figure 22: Kona Cyclone of March, 1951. Fields are shown at 00 GMT on 26 March: a): 950 hPa geopotential height*
521 *(km), b): 400 hPa geopotential height (km), and c):* V_{nm} . *(km), b): 400 hPa geopotential height (km), and c):* V_{pm} *.*

4. Variations on the Theme

 We here are attempting to distinguish a class of cyclones, cyclops, from other cyclonic storms by their physics, not by the regions in which they develop. Here we present a case of an actual tropical cyclone in the Mediterranean that we do not identify as a cyclop.

4.1 Cyclone Zorbas

The cyclone known as Zorbas developed just north of Libya on 27 September 2018 and moved

northward and then northeastward across the Peloponnese and the Aegean (Figure 23).

 dissipating in early October. The storm killed several people and did millions of dollars of damage.

Figure 23: Track of Cyclone Zorbas, from 27 September through 2 October, 2018.

 The antecedent (actual, not modified) potential intensity distribution, on 26 September, is displayed in Figure 24. In much of the Mediterranean, the potential intensity was typical of 535 tropical warm pools with values approaching 80 ms⁻¹. As with most medicanes, Zorbas was triggered by an upper tropospheric Rossby wave breaking event (Figure 25), but in this case the 537 cold pool aloft only enhanced the existing potential intensity by about 7 ms^{-1} (on September $27th$.) In this case, the maximum 400 hPa geopotential perturbation was around 1500 m²s⁻², 539 compared to about 2500 m²s⁻² in the case of Medicane Zeo of 2005. Zorbas was therefore more like a classic case of a tropical cyclone resulting from tropical transition (Bosart and Bartlo, 1991; Davis and Bosart, 2003, 2004) and we would not describe it as a cyclop. Note that while the approach of the upper-level cyclone did not appreciably alter the potential intensity, it almost certainly humidified the middle troposphere, making genesis somewhat more likely.

Figure 24: Potential intensity distribution in the Mediterranean and Black Seas, 11 GMT on 26 September 2018.

 $9/27$

 Figure 25: 400 hPa geopotential height (km) at 11 GMT on 26 September (left) and 27 September (right), 2018, from ERA-5 reanalysis.

4.2 Cyclone Daniel

Cyclone Daniel of 2023 was the deadliest Mediterranean surface flux-driven cyclone in recorded

history, with a death toll exceeding 4,000 and thousands of missing persons, mostly owing to

floods caused by the catastrophic failure of two dams near Derna, Libya (Flaounas et al., 2024).

 This flooding was the worst in the recorded history of the African continent. Figure 26 shows the track of Daniel's center and a visible satellite image of the storm as it approached landfall in

Libya is shown in Figure 27. Daniel became a surface flux-driven cyclone off the west coast of

559 the Peloponnese on September 5th, made landfall near Benghazi, Libya, on September 10th and

560 dissipated over Egypt on the $12th$.

Figure 26: Track of Storm Daniel at 6-hour intervals, beginning September 5th and ending September 12th, 2023. The 563 <i>circles, squares and triangles along the track denote tropical cyclone cricles, squares and tri circles, squares and triangles along the track denote tropical cyclone, subtropical cyclone and extratropical cyclone designations, respectively, while the deep blue and light blue colors denote tropical depression- and tropical storm-

force winds.

Figure 27: NOAA-20 VIIRS image of Storm Daniel at 12 GMT 9 September 2023, as it approached the Libyan coast.

- As with Zorbas, the antecedent potential intensity was high throughout the Mediterranean south
- and east of Italy and Sicily, and the event was triggered by a Rossby wave breaking event
- (Figure 28). And as with Zorbas, the cutoff cyclone aloft was not strong enough to appreciably
- alter the existing potential intensity but acted as a trigger for the tropical cyclone that Daniel
- became. This was another classic case of tropical transition, and not a cyclop.

Figure 28: 400 hPa geopotential height (km; (a)-(b)) and actual potential intensity (ms⁻¹; (c)-(d)) at 00 GMT 576 September 4th ((a) and (c)) and 5th ((b) and (d)), from ERA-5 reanalyses. September 4th ((a) and (c)) and 5th ((b) and (d)), from ERA-5 reanalyses.

 Yet Storm Daniel differed from Zorbas in one important respect: as it approached the Libyan 578 coast around September $10th$, it came under the influence of strong high-level potential vorticity (PV) advection owing to a mesoscale "satellite" PV mass rotating around the principal upper- level cutoff cyclone (Figure 29). The quasi-balanced forcing associated with the superposition of the high-level PV anomaly with the surface-based warm core probably contributed to Daniel's intensification which, remarkably for a surface flux-driven cyclone, continued after landfall (Hewson et al., 2024).

Figure 29: Potential vorticity (PV units, 10⁻⁶ K kg⁻¹ m² s⁻¹) at 250 hPa at 12 GMT on 10 September, 2023. The white 586 <i>"L" marks the approximate surface center of Daniel at this time. "L" marks the approximate surface center of Daniel at this time.

5. Summary and conclusions

 We here argue that many of the cyclonic storms called medicanes, polar lows, subtropical cyclones, and Kona storms operate on the same physics and ought to be identified as a single class of storms that we propose to call cyclops. Like classical tropical cyclones, these are driven primarily by wind-dependent surface enthalpy (latent and sensible heat) fluxes, but unlike classical TCs, there is little or no climatological potential intensity for the storms. Rather, the development and approach of strong, cold-core cyclones in the upper troposphere cools and moistens the column through dynamical lifting, generating mesoscale to synoptic scale columns with elevated potential intensity and humidity, and reduced wind shear – ideal embryos for the development of surface flux-driven cyclones.

 We do not expect cyclops to last as long as classical TCs. In the first place, the conditions that enable such storms are confined in space and transient in time. For example, the cutoff cyclone aloft is often re-absorbed into the main baroclinic flow. In addition, the strong surface enthalpy fluxes that power cyclops also increase the enthalpy of the otherwise spatially limited cold columns in which they form, reducing over time the thermodynamic disequilibrium between the air column and the sea surface. A back-of-the-envelope estimate for the time to destroy the initial thermodynamic disequilibrium is on the order of days. By contrast, even the strongest classical TCs do not sufficiently warm the large expanses of the tropical troposphere they influence to appreciably diminish the large-scale potential intensity of tropical warm pools.

 Not all cyclonic storms that have been called polar lows, medicanes, subtropical cyclones, or Kona storms meet our definition of cyclop. The literature describes many polar lows that have been traced to something more nearly like classical baroclinic instability acting in an air mass of anomalously low static stability (e.g. Sardie and Warner, 1985). Many storms identified as Kona storms because of their location and season, and which developed under cold cyclones aloft, never received much of a boost from surface fluxes and therefore would not be classified as cyclops. And, as we described in the last section, two strong Mediterranean cyclones, Zorbas of 2018 and Daniel of 2023, developed in environments of high climatological potential intensity

- and formed via the tropical transition process.
- Beyond these caveats, not all cold-core, closed cyclones in the upper troposphere that develop
- or move over relatively warm ocean waters develop cyclops. Without doing a comprehensive
- survey, we have found several cases of greatly enhanced potential intensity under cold lows
- aloft that did not develop strong, concentrated surface cyclones. We suspect that, as with
- classical tropical cyclones, dry air incursions above the boundary layer may have prevented
- genesis in these cases, but as these events generally occur in regions devoid of in-situ
- observations, it is not clear how well reanalyses capture variations of moisture on the scale of
- cyclops. In any event, the efficiency with which upper-level cut-off cyclones produce cyclops,
- given a substantial perturbation in potential intensity, should be a subject of future research.
- Clearly, there exists a continuum between pure tropical transition, in which synoptic-scale
- dynamics play no role in setting up the potential intensity, and pure cyclops in which synoptic-
- scale processes create all the potential intensity that drives the storm. We might think of a
- triangular phase space in which the vertices are pure baroclinic cyclones, pure tropical
- cyclones, and cyclops, with real storms migrating through that phase space over time, as in
- phase-space diagrams of (Hart, 2003). This proposed phase space is illustrated in Figure 30.

Figure 30: Proposed phase space for baroclinic cyclones, tropical cyclones, and cyclops. AT the lower left corner,

 pure baroclinic cyclones are driven by ambient baroclinity. At the upper corner, pure tropical cyclones are driven by ambient potential intensity (PI) arising from the thermodynamic disequilibrium between the ocean and atmosphere. At *the lower right corner, pure CYCLOPs are driven by PI locally generated by synoptic scale dynamical processes, in the absence of climatological PI. As with Hart's cyclone phase space (Hart, 2003), individual cyclones can migrate through this space over time.*

- 638 Synoptic scale processes, like Rossby wave breaking, are essential not only for triggering
- 639 cyclops but for providing conducive thermodynamic and kinematic environments for their
- 640 development. As such, forecasters must account for both the triggering potential and mesoscale
- 641 to synoptic scale environmental development in predicting the formation and evolution of
- 642 $\;\;$ cyclops. The modified potential intensity ($V_{_{pm}}\;$) introduced here may prove to be a valuable
- 643 diagnostic that can easily be calculated from NWP model output. To simulate cyclops, NWP
- 644 models need to make accurate forecasts of baroclinic processes that lead to the formation and

645 humidification of deep cold pools aloft, and be able to handle surface fluxes and other boundary

- 646 layer processes essential to the formation of surface flux-driven cyclones. And, as with tropical
- 647 cyclones, coupling to the ocean is essential for accurate intensity prediction.
- 648 Finally, we encourage researchers to focus on the essential physics of cyclops regardless of 649 where in the world they occur. Casting a broader geographical net will harvest a greater sample 650 of such storms and should lead to more rapid progress in understanding and forecasting them.
- 651

⁶⁵² Appendix

653 Potential intensity is a measure of the maximum surface wind speed that can be achieved by a 654 cyclone fueled entirely by surface enthalpy fluxes. It is defined (see Rousseau-Rizzi and 655 Emanuel (2019) for an up-to-date definition):

- $\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} = \frac{C_{k}}{C} \frac{I_{s} I_{o}}{T} \left(h_{0}^{*} h_{b} \right),$ *D p o* $V_p^2 = \frac{C_k}{C} \frac{T_s - T_o}{T} \left(h_0^* - h_0^* \right)$ C_p *T* 656 $V_p^2 = \frac{C_k}{a} \frac{T_s - T_o}{T} \left(h_0^* - h_h\right),$ (A1)
- 657 Where C_k and C_D are the surface exchange coefficients for enthalpy and momentum, T_s and
- 658 \quad $T_{_o}$ are the absolute temperatures of the surface and outflow layer, $\,h_{0}^{*}\,$ is the saturation moist

659 static energy of the sea surface, and h_b is the moist static energy of the boundary layer.

660 Using the relation $T\delta s \simeq \delta h$, where s is moist entropy, we can re-write (A1) slightly as

661
$$
V_p^2 = \frac{C_k}{C_D} \frac{T_s - T_o}{T_o} T_s (s_0^* - s_b).
$$
 (A2)

 Next, we assume that the troposphere near cyclops has a nearly moist adiabatic lapse rate, and 663 the moist entropy of the boundary layer is equal to the saturation moist entropy, s^* , of the troposphere; i.e., that the troposphere is neutrally stable to moist adiabatic ascent from the boundary layer. Under these conditions, (A2) may be re-written

666
$$
V_p^2 = \frac{C_k}{C_D} \frac{T_s - T_o}{T_o} T_s (s_0^* - s^*).
$$
 (A3)

667 Here s^* is constant with altitude, since the troposphere is assumed to have a moist adiabatic 668 lapse rate.

 Referring to Figure 1a in the main text, we want to know what the potential intensity is under the cutoff cyclone aloft *before* the atmosphere underneath it has started to warm up under the influence of surface enthalpy fluxes. But in reality, this warming commences as soon as the 672 system moves over relatively warm water. We can infer what the temperature, or \vec{s} , is under the cutoff cyclone by relating the temperature perturbation under the cyclone to the geopotential perturbation associated with the cutoff low.

675 We begin with the perturbation hydrostatic equation in pressure coordinates:

$$
\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial p} = -\alpha',\tag{A4}
$$

677 where ϕ' is the perturbation geopotential and α' is the perturbation specific volume. Since the 678 latter is a function of pressure and s^{*} only, we can use the chain rule and one of the Maxwell 679 relations from thermodynamics (Emanuel, 1994) to write (A4) as

$$
\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial p} = -\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\right)_{s^*} s^* \qquad (A5)
$$

681 Now, since s^* does not vary with altitude, we can integrate (A5) from the surface to the local 682 tropopause to yield

683
$$
\phi_{cl} - \phi_s = (T_s - T_o) s^*.
$$
 (A6)

684 where ϕ_{cl} ['] is the geopotential perturbation associated with the cutoff cyclone aloft and ϕ_s ['] is the 685 near-surface geopotential perturbation.

- 686 We want to know how cold the air is under the cutoff low *before* the surface pressure has
- 687 dropped, so we can use (A6) to find the temperature (or s^*) perturbation that would be found

688 under the cutoff cyclone in the absence of a surface pressure perturbation:

689
$$
s^* = \frac{\phi_{cl}}{T_s - T_o}.
$$
 (A7)

690 Using this, the modified definition of potential intensity, \it{V}_{pm} , can be written from (A1) as

691
$$
V_{pm}^{2} = \frac{C_{k}}{C_{D}} \frac{T_{s} - T_{o}}{T_{o}} T_{s} \left(s_{0}^{*} - s_{e}^{*} - \frac{\phi_{cl}}{T_{s} - T_{o}} \right),
$$
 (A8)

or equivalently,

693
$$
V_{pm}^2 = V_p^2 - \frac{C_k}{C_D} \frac{T_s}{T_o} \phi_{cl}^{\dagger}, \qquad (A9)
$$

694 where V_p is the unperturbed potential intensity, and s_e ^{*} is the unperturbed environmental

695 $^-$ saturation entropy. We use (A9) in the calculations reported in this paper, with $\it{{V}_p}$ calculated

using the algorithm of Bister and Emanuel (2002).

 For the purposes of the present work, we defined the perturbation as the difference between the actual geopotential and its climatological value determined from monthly mean values over the period 1979-2023, and we estimate the cutoff cyclone geopotential perturbation at 400 hPa.

Code and data availability

No modeling was performed in the course of this work, and no code developed except to plot

reanalysis data. Routines for calculating potential intensity are available at

<https://github.com/dgilford/tcpyPI> . All of the meteorological analyses presented herein are

- based in ERA5 downloaded from the Copernicus Climate Change Service
- (https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.143582cf, Hersbach et al., 2020).

Author contributions

- KE carried out the analyses and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all the co-
- authors. JJG prepared Figure 30.
- Competing interests
- The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

- This paper was motivated by discussions at the TROPICANA (TROPIcal Cyclones in
- ANthropocene: physics, simulations & Attribution) program, which took place in June 2024 at
- the Institute Pascal, University of Paris-Saclay, and aimed to address complex issues related to
- tropical cyclones, medicanes, and their connection with climate change.
- This work was made possible by Institut Pascal at Université Paris-Saclay with the support of
- the program TROPICANA, "Investissements d'avenir" ANR-11-IDEX-0003-01.
- T.Alberti acknowledges useful discussions within the MedCyclones COST Action (CA19109)
- and the FutureMed COST Action (CA22162) communities.
- S. Bourdin received financial support from the NERC-NSF research grant n° NE/W009587/1
- (NERC) & AGS-2244917 (NSF) HUrricane Risk Amplification and Changing North Atlantic
- Natural disasters (Huracan), and from the EUR IPSL-Climate Graduate School through the
- ICOCYCLONES2 project, managed by the ANR under the "Investissements d'avenir"
- programme with the reference 37 ANR-11-IDEX-0004 17-EURE-0006.
- S.J. Camargo and C.-Y. Lee acknowledge the support of the U.S. National Science Foundation
- (AGS 20-43142, 22-17618, 22-44918) and U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (DE-SC0023333).
- K. Emanuel was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under grant AGS-2202785.
- M. M. Miglietta was partly supported by "Earth Observations as a cornerstone to the
- understanding and prediction of tropical like cyclone risk in the Mediterranean (MEDICANES)",
- ESA Contract No. 4000144111/23/I-KE.
- H. Ramsay acknowledges funding support from the Climate Systems Hub of the Australian Government's National Environmental Science Program (NESP).
- R. Romero acknowledges financial support by the "Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación" of Spain
- through the grant TRAMPAS (PID2020-113036RB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033).
-
- References
- Bister, M. and Emanuel, K. A.: Low frequency variability of tropical cyclone potential intensity, 1: Interannual to interdecadel variability, J Geophys Res, 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD000776, 2002.
- Bosart, L. F. and Bartlo, J. A.: Tropical storm formation in a baroclinic environment, Mon Wea Rev, 119, 1979–2013, 1991.
- Cangliosi, J. P., Papin, P., and Beven, J. L.: Unnamed tropical storm (AL012023)., 2023.
- Cavicchia, L., von Storch, H., and Gualdi, S.: A long-term climatology of medicanes, Clim. Dyn., 43, 1183–1195, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1893-7, 2014.
- Cavicchia, L., Pepler, A., Dowdy, A., and Walsh, K.: A physically based climatology of the occurrence and intensification of Australian East Coast Lows, J. Clim., 32, 2823–2841, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0549.1, 2019.
- Chavas, D. R. and Emanuel, K. A.: Equilibrium tropical cyclone size in an idealized state of axisymmetric radiative–convective equilibrium, J Atmos Sci, 71, 1663–1680, 2014.
- Cronin, T. W. and Chavas, D. R.: Dry and semidry tropical cyclones, J. Atmospheric Sci., 76, 2193–2212, https://doi.org/10.1175/jas-d-18-0357.1, 2019.
- Daingerfield, L. H.: Kona storms, Mon. Weather Rev., 49, 327–329,
- https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1921)49<327:ks>2.0.co;2, 1921.
- Davis, C. A. and Bosart, L. F.: Baroclinically induced tropical cyclogenesis, Mon. Weather Rev., 131, 2730–2747, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<2730:BITC>2.0.CO;2, 2003.
- Davis, C. A. and Bosart, L. F.: The TT problem: Forecasting the tropical transition of cyclones, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 85, 1657–1662, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-85-11-1657, 2004.
- Emanuel, K.: Genesis and maintenance of "Mediterranean hurricanes," Adv Geosci, 2, 217– 220, 2005.
- Emanuel, K.: Tropical cyclone activity downscaled from NOAA-CIRES reanalysis, 1908-1958, J Adv Model Earth Sys, 2, 1–12, 2010.
- Emanuel, K. A.: Atmospheric Convection, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 580 pp., 1994.
- 763 Evans, J. L. and Braun, A.: A climatology of subtropical cyclones in the South Atlantic, J. Clim., 764 25, 7328–7340, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00212.1, 2012. 25, 7328–7340, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00212.1, 2012.
- Fita, L. and Flaounas, E.: Medicanes as subtropical cyclones: the December 2005 case from
- the perspective of surface pressure tendency diagnostics and atmospheric water budget, Q. J.
- R. Meteorol. Soc., 144, 1028–1044, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3273, 2018.
- Flaounas, E., Dafis, S., Davolio, S., Faranda, D., Ferrarin, C., Hartmuth, K., Hochman, A.,
- Koutroulis, A., Khodayar, S., Miglietta, M. M., Pantillon, F., Patlakas, P., Sprenger, M., and Thurnherr, I.: Dynamics, predictability, impacts, and climate change considerations of the
- catastrophic Mediterranean Storm Daniel (2023), EGUsphere, 2024, 1–29,
-
- https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-2809, 2024.
- Gozzo, L. F., Rocha, R. P. da, Reboita, M. S., and Sugahara, S.: Subtropical cyclones over the southwestern South Atlantic: Climatological aspects and case study, J. Clim., 27, 8543–8562, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00149.1, 2014.
- Hart, R. E.: A cyclone phase space derived from thermal wind and thermal asymmetry, Mon.
- Weather Rev., 131, 585–616, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 0493(2003)131<0585:acpsdf>2.0.co;2, 2003.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J.,
- Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo,
- G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D.,
- Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L.,
- Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C.,
- Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.: The
- ERA5 global reanalysis, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 146, 1999–2049,
- https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
- Hewson, T., Ashoor, A., Bousetta, S., Emanuel, K., Lagouvardos, K., Lavers, D., Magnusson,
- L., Pillosu, F., and Zoster, E.: Medicane Daniel: an extraordinary cyclone with devastating impacts, ECMWF Newsl., 179, 33–47, 2024.
- Holland, G. J., Lynch, A. H., and Leslie, L. M.: Australian east-coast cyclones. Part I: Synoptic overview and case study, Mon. Weather Rev., 115, 3024–3036, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0493(1987)115<3024:AECCPI>2.0.CO;2, 1987.
- McIntyre, M. E. and Palmer, T. N.: Breaking planetary waves in the stratosphere, Nature, 305, 593–600, https://doi.org/10.1038/305593a0, 1983.
- McTaggart-Cowan, R., Deane, G. D., Bosart, L. F., Davis, C. A., and Galarneau, T. J.:
- Climatology of tropical cyclogenesis in the North Atlantic (1948–2004), Mon. Weather Rev., 136, 1284–1304, https://doi.org/10.1175/2007MWR2245.1, 2008.
- McTaggart-Cowan, R., Galarneau, T. J., Bosart, L. F., Moore, R. W., and Martius, O.: A global climatology of baroclinically influenced tropical cyclogenesis, Mon. Weather Rev., 141, 1963– 1989, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00186.1, 2013.
- 801 McTaggart-Cowan, R., Davies, E. L., Fairman, J. G., Galarneau, T. J., and Schultz, D. M.:
- Revisiting the 26.5°c sea surface temperature threshold for tropical cyclone development, Bull.
- Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 1929–1943, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00254.1, 2015.
- Miglietta, M. M. and Rotunno, R.: Development mechanisms for Mediterranean tropical-like cyclones (medicanes), Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 145, 1444–1460, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3503, 2019.
- Nastos, P. T., Karavana Papadimou, K., and Matsangouras, I. T.: Mediterranean tropical-like 808 cyclones: Impacts and composite daily means and anomalies of synoptic patterns, High Impact
809 Atmospheric Process, Mediterr., 208, 156–166, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.atmosres.2017.10.023, Atmospheric Process. Mediterr., 208, 156–166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.10.023, 2018.
- 811 Nordeng, T. E. and Rasmussen, E. A. D.-: 10. 1034/j. 1600-0870. 1992. 00001. x: A most
- 812 beautiful polar low. A case study of a polar low development in the Bear Island region, Tellus A, 44, 81–99, 1992.
- 814 Pytharoulis, I., Craig, G. C., and Ballard, S. P.: Study of the Hurricane-like Mediterranean
815 cyclone of January 1995, Phys. Chem. Earth Part B Hydrol. Oceans Atmosphere, 24, 627
- cyclone of January 1995, Phys. Chem. Earth Part B Hydrol. Oceans Atmosphere, 24, 627–632, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1909(99)00056-8, 1999.
- 817 Romero, R. and Emanuel, K. D.-:10. 1002/jgrd. 50475: Medicane risk in a changing climate, J Geophys Res, 118, 2013.
- 819 Rotunno, R. and Emanuel, K. A.: An air-sea interaction theory for tropical cyclones. Part II., J
820 Atmos Sci, 44, 542–561, 1987. Atmos Sci, 44, 542-561, 1987.
- Rousseau-Rizzi, R. and Emanuel, K.: An evaluation of hurricane superintensity in axisymmetric numerical models, J. Atmospheric Sci., 76, 1697–1708, https://doi.org/10.1175/jas-d-18-0238.1, 2019.
- Sardie, J. M. and Warner, T. T. D.-:10. 1111/j. 1600-0870. 1985. tb00444. x: A numerical study of the development mechanisms of polar lows, Tellus A, 37A, 460–477, 1985.
- Simpson, R. H.: Evolution of the Kona storm: A subtropical cyclone, J. Atmospheric Sci., 9, 24– 35, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1952)009<0024:EOTKSA>2.0.CO;2, 1952.
- Tomita, H. and Tanaka, R.: Ocean surface warming and cooling responses and feedback processes associated with polar lows over the Nordic seas, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 129, e2023JD040460, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD040460, 2024.
- 831 Tous, M. and Romero, R. D.-:10. 1002/joc. 3428: Meteorological environments associated with medicane development, Int. J. Climatol., 33, 1–14, 2013.
- 833 Velez-Pardo, M. and Cronin, T. W.: Large-scale circulations and dry tropical cyclones in direct 834 numerical simulations of rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection, J. Atmospheric Sci., numerical simulations of rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection, J. Atmospheric Sci., https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-23-0018.1, 2023.
- Yanase, W. and co-authors: Climatology of polar lows over the Sea of Japan using the JRA-55 reanalysis, J Clim., 29, 419–437, 2016.
- Yarovaya, D. A., Efimov, V. V., Shokurov, M. V., Stanichnyi, S. V., and Barabanov, V. S.: A
- quasitropical cyclone over the Black Sea: Observations and numerical simulation, Phys.
- Oceanogr., 18, 154–167, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11110-008-9018-2, 2008.
- Zhang, W., Villarini, G., Scoccimarro, E., and Napolitano, F.: Examining the precipitation associated with medicanes in the high-resolution ERA-5 reanalysis data, Int. J. Climatol., 41,
- E126–E132, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6669, 2021.
- Zhang, Y., Meng, Z., Zhang, F., and Weng, Y.: Predictability of tropical cyclone intensity
- evaluated through 5-yr forecasts with a convection-permitting regional-scale model in the
- Atlantic basin, Wea Forecast., 29, 1003–1022, 2014.