Assessing Storm Surge Risk at New York City

Kerry Emanuel Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Brief Review of Tropical Cyclones

 Why historical statistics are inadequate for assessing long-term surge risk in NYC

 Physically based method for estimating surge risk

More Information about this Talk:

Physically based assessment of hurricane surge threat under climate change

Ning Lin, Kerry Emanuel, Michael Oppenheimer & Erik Vanmarcke

Nature Climate Change, **2**, 462–467 (February, 2012) doi:10.1038/nclimate1389

"The combined effects of storm climatology change and a 1m SLR may cause the present NYC 100-yr surge flooding to occur every 3– 20 yr and the present 500-yr flooding to occur every 25–240 yr by the end of the century"

Brief Overview of Tropical Cyclones

The View from Space





Tropical Cyclones, 1945–2006



Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale:





Limitations of a strictly statistical approach U.S. Hurricanes in General

- >50% of all normalized damage caused by top 8 events, all category 3, 4 and 5
- >90% of all damage caused by storms of category
 3 and greater
- Category 3,4 and 5 events are only 13% of total landfalling events; only 30 since 1870
- Landfalling storm statistics are inadequate for assessing hurricane risk

Historical Surge Events Affecting New York City



Tracks of historical hurricanes affecting NYC and western Long Island

Source: Scileppi and Donnelly, 2007: *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys.*, **8**

From historical archives

From tide gauge at the Battery



Source: Scileppi and Donnelly, 2007: *Geochem., Geophys., Geosys.*, **8**

Additional Problem: Nonstationarity of climate

Atlantic Sea Surface Temperatures and Storm Max Power Dissipation



Data Sources: Hurricanes: NOAA/TPC; Sea Surface Temperatures: UKMO/HADSST1

Bringing Physics to Bear: Risk Assessment by Direct Numerical Simulation of Hurricanes

The Problem

The hurricane eyewall is an intense, circular front, attaining scales of ~ 1 km or less

At the same time, the storm's circulation extends to ~1000 km and is embedded in much larger scale flows



Numerical convergence in an axisymmetric, nonhydrostatic model (Rotunno and Emanuel, 1987)



How to deal with this?

Option 1: Brute force and obstinacy



How to deal with this?

- Option 1: Brute force and obstinacy
- Option 2: Applied math and modest resources





Time-dependent, axisymmetric model phrased in R space $M = rV + \frac{1}{2} fr^2 \quad \frac{1}{2} fR^2 \equiv M \qquad f \equiv 2\Omega \sin \theta$

- Hydrostatic and gradient balance above PBL
- Moist adiabatic lapse rates on M surfaces above PBL
- Boundary layer quasi-equilibrium convection
- Deformation-based radial diffusion
- Coupled to simple 1-D ocean model
- Environmental wind shear effects parameterized

Originally Developed as a Student Laboratory Tool, Later Adapted as a Hurricane Intensity Forecasting Model

(http://wind.mit.edu/~emanuel/storm.html)





How Can We Use This Model to Help Assess Hurricane Wind and Rain Risk in Current and Future Climates?

Risk Assessment Approach:

- Step 1: Seed each ocean basin with a very large number of weak, randomly located cyclones
- Step 2: Cyclones are assumed to move with the large scale atmospheric flow in which they are embedded, plus a correction for beta drift
- Step 3: Run the CHIPS model for each cyclone, and note how many achieve at least tropical storm strength
- Step 4: Using the small fraction of surviving events, determine storm statistics

Details: Emanuel et al., Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc, 2008

Comparison of Random Seeding Genesis Locations with Observations



Calibration

• Absolute genesis frequency calibrated to globe during the period 1980-2005

ERA40, 1000 Tracks





Example: Hurricane affecting New York City

Wind Swath



Accumulated Rainfall (mm)

Newyork Track number 602



Return Periods



Coupling large hurricane event sets to surge models (with Ning Lin)

- Couple synthetic tropical cyclone events (Emanuel et al., BAMS, 2008) to surge models
 - SLOSH
 - ADCIRC (fine mesh)
 - ADCIRC (coarse mesh)
- Generate probability distributions of surge at desired locations

Storm Surge Simulation



Hurricane Irene (2011) Hindcast



 $V_m = 17 \text{ m/s}, P_c = 977 \text{ mb}$ $R_m = 83 \text{ km}, U_t = 12 \text{ m/s}, ds = 9 \text{ km}$

Hurricane Sandy (2012) Hindcast

HWRF Forecast at 2012102818z




Looking Ahead

GCM flood height return level (assuming SLR of 1 m for the future climate)



Black: Current climate (1981-2000) Blue: A1B future climate (2081-2100) Red: A1B future climate (2081-2100) with R_0 increased by 10% and R_m increased by 21%

Lin et al. (2012)

Black Swan Tropical Cyclones





 Historical records are in general too short to permit accurate estimates of surge risk

 Climate change also compromises estimates based strictly on historical records Simple but high resolution coupled tropical cyclone model can be used to 'downscale" tropical cyclone activity and associated surges from global climate data sets

Studies based on this downscaling suggest some sensitivity of tropical cyclones to climate state, and possibly important changes in tropical activity over the next century The 500 year flooding event in New York City is expected to occur every 25-240 years as a result of sea level rise and increased incidence of intense tropical cyclones

 New York City is also susceptible to winter storm- and hybrid storm-induced surges.
More work needs to be done to estimate risks from such events

Projections of U.S. Insured Damage



Emanuel, K. A., 2012, Weather, Climate, and Society



Climate change impacts on tropical cyclone damage divided by GDP by region in 2100. The ratio of damage to GDP is highest in the Caribbean–Central American region but North America, Oceania and East Asia all have aboveaverage ratios.

Feedback of Global Tropical Cyclone Activity on the Climate System



500hPa zonal mean meridional temperature flux (mK/s) of the stationary eddies for January through March. The dotted (solid) curve represents the composite mean of the winters following inactive (active) northern hemisphere TC seasons. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean for datasets of size varying from N=9 to 13. Flux calculated using NCAR/NCEP reanalysis for the period 1960-2008



Source: Rob Korty, CalTech

Wake Recovery



Hart, Maue, and Watson, Mon. Wea. Rev., 2007

Direct mixing by tropical cyclones Stage 1: Stage 2: Enthalpy-conserving mixing Wake recovery



Emanuel (2001) estimated global rate of heat input as 1.4 X 10¹⁵ Watts

Source: Rob Korty, CalTech

TC Mixing May Induce Much or Most of the Observed Poleward Heat Flux by the Oceans







TC-Mixing may be Crucial for High-Latitude Warmth and Low-Latitude Moderation During Warm Climates, such as that of the Eocene



Depiction of central North America, ~60 million years ago

Our future?

Figure courtesy of Rob Korty, CalTech

Linear trend (1955–2003) of the zonally integrated heat content of the world ocean by one-degree latitude belts for 100-m thick layers. Source: Levitus et al., 2005

TC-Mixing may explain difference between observed and modeled ocean warming

Zonally averaged temperature trend due to global warming in a coupled climate model. Source: Manabe et al, 1991



Pushing Back the Record of Tropical Cyclone Activity:

Paleotempestology

Paleotempestology





Source: Jeff Donnelly, WHOI

Pope Beach Marsh, Fairhaven, MA







Source: Jeff Donnelly, Jon Woodruff, Phil Lane; WHOI

Pope Beach 3 - Grain Size





Source: Jeff Donnelly, Jon Woodruff, Phil Lane; WHOI

Inferences from Modeling

The Problem:

- Global models are far too coarse to simulate high intensity tropical cyclones
- Embedding regional models within global models introduces problems stemming from incompatibility of models, and even regional models are usually too coarse



Histograms of Tropical Cyclone Intensity as Simulated by a Global Model with 50 km grid point spacing. (Courtesy Isaac Held, GFDL)

Probability Density of TC Damage, U.S. East Coast

Damage Multiplied by Probability Density of TC Damage, U.S. East Coast



To the extent that they simulate tropical cyclones at all, global models simulate storms that are largely irrelevant to society and to the climate system itself, given that ocean stirring effects are heavily weighted towards the most intense storms

Decomposition of PDI Trends



Sensitivity to Shear and Potential Intensity



Hydrostatic Compensation (following Holloway and Neelin)

Perturbations to moist adiabatic troposphere:

$$\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial p} = -\alpha' = -\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial p}\right)_p s^{*'} = -\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\right)_{s^{*'}} s_b'$$
$$\rightarrow \phi_T' = (T_s - T_T) s_b'$$

Stratospheric compensation:

$$RT_{T}' = -\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial \ln(p)} \cong -\frac{\phi_{T}'}{\Delta \ln(p)} = -\frac{\left(T_{s} - T_{T}\right)s_{b}'}{\Delta \ln(p)}$$

For typical values of the parameters

$$T_T' \simeq -T_s'$$

Ozone may not explain spatial pattern of cooling (Fu and Wallace, *Science*, 2006)



Stratospheric Compensation



Application to the Climate of the Pliocene



Genesis Points, June-October, Exp CTL

Explicit (blue dots) and downscaled (red dots) genesis points for June-October for Control (top) and **Global Warming** (bottom) experiments using the 14-km resolution NICAM model. Collaborative work with K. Oouchi.



Genesis Points, June-October, Exp GW




Change in Power Dissipation with Global Warming



Probability Density by Storm Lifetime Peak Wind Speed, Explicit and Downscaled Events



The Importance of Potential Intensity for Genesis and for Storm Intensity

Application to Re-analyses and AGCMs



Annual Atlantic tropical cyclone counts: Unadjusted best-track data (black); and downscaled from the NCAR/NCEP reanalysis, 1980-2008 (blue), the ECHAM 5 simulation, 1870-2005 (green), and the NOAA/CIRES reanalysis, 1891-2008 (red). Thin lines show annual values, thick lines show 5-year running means

Interpretation of Recent Trends in Potential Intensity



From NCAR/NCEP reanalysis data, 1980-2008

Potential intensity has been increasing by about 12 ms⁻¹K⁻¹, compared to accepted value of 4 ms⁻¹K⁻¹. What is the source of this discrepancy? Surface wind speeds have not changed much since 1980. Key variable: Outflow temperature, which in general decreases with:

Increasing SST

 Decreasing temperature of lower stratosphere and/or troposphere transition layer

Importance of Trends in Outflow Temperature



Do Climate Models Capture Lower Stratospheric Cooling?

AGCMs, driven by observed SSTs, do not get the cooling!



August-October outflow temperatures averaged over the Atlantic MDR from the ECHAM 5 simulation (green), the NOAA/CIRES 20th Century reanalysis, version 2 (red) and the NCAR/NCEP reanalysis (blue)

As a result, they miss the recent increase in potential intensity



1979-1999 Temperature Trends, 30S-30N. Red: Radiosondes; Solid Black: Mean of Models with Ozone; Dashed Black: Mean of Models without Ozone (Cordero and Forster, 2006)



Combine expression for potential intensity, V_{max} , with energy balance of ocean mixed layer:

Net surface radiative flux SST Outflow T Ocean mixed layer depth Mixed layer heat flux $V_{max}^{2} = \frac{T_{s} - T_{o}}{T_{o}} \frac{F_{rad} - d\nabla \cdot F_{ocean}}{T_{o}}$ Drag coefficient Mean surface wind speed

Valid on time scales > thermal equilibration time of ocean mixed layer (~ 2 years)





Log of the probability density of 6-hour intensity change

Cumulative Distribution of Storm Lifetime Peak Wind Speed, with Sample of 1755 Synthetic Tracks



Genesis rates



Captures effects of regional climate phenomena (e.g. ENSO, AMM)



Seasonal Cycles North Atlantic

